# $\mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{ata}}$

Needs

Analysis



## **Scoping Study**



Bridge Replacement
Letcher County
Replace Bridge on KY 2034C
over North Fork of Kentucky
River 0.02 E of US 119
(SR 42.7)
Item Number 12-1113.00

Prepared by KYTC Division of Planning District 12

April 2013





I. PRELIMINARY PROJECT INFORMATION						
County:	Letcher	Item No.:		12-1113.00		
, Route Number(s):	KY 2034C			Old US 119		
Program No.:	8750501D			52 067 2034 000-001		
Federal Project No.:	BRO 1203 (352)	Type of Worl	k:	Bridge Replacement		
2012 Highway Plan Project Description:						
Replace bridge on KY 2034C over North Fork of Kentucky River 0.02 E of US 119 (SR42.7)						
Beginning MP:	: 0.000	Ending MP:	0.058	Project Length: 0.058 Mile		
Functional Class.:	Urban	Sf	State Class.:	Primary Secondary		
	Collector $\blacktriangledown$	R	Route is on:	□ NHS ☑ NN □ Ext Wt		
MPO Area: Not Applicat	hle ▼		ruck Class.:			
In TIP: Yes	□ No		6 Trucks:	8.00%		
ADT (current):				8.00%  Mountainous		
	5430 (2010)			<u> </u>		
Access Control:		Fully Controlled	Partial	Spacing:		
Median Type:		ided (Type):				
Existing Bike Accomm	nodations: None	▼ .	Ped:	Sidewalk		
Posted Speed:	35 mph	√ 55 ı	mph	Other (Specify):		
KYTC Guidelines Preli	minarily Based on:	35 M	/IPH Proposed	l Design Speed		
		COMMON G	SEOMETRIC			
Roadway Data:	EXISTING	PRACT				
No. of Lanes	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	Existing Rdwy. Plans available?		
Lane Width	<u>10</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>2'</u>	✓ Yes		
Shoulder Width	<u>2'</u>	<u>4' an</u>	<u>ıd 6'</u>	Year of Plans: 1934		
Max. Superelevation**	<u>N/A</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>%</u>	Traffic Forecast Requested		
Minimum Radius**	<u>N/A</u>	<u>420</u>	<u>.0'</u>	Date Requested:		
Maximum Grade	<u>N/A</u>	<u>109</u>	<u>/%</u>	Mapping/Survey Requested		
Minimum Sight Dist.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>128</u>	<u>80'</u>	Date Requested:		
Sidewalk Width(urban)	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/</u>		Type: Conventional		
Clear-zone***	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/</u>	<u>/A</u>			
Project Notes/Design Exc	ceptions?:					
*Based on proposed Design Speed,	l, **AASHTO's A Policy on Geometric Des	sign of Highways and S	Streets, ***AASHTO	r's Roadside Design Guide		
Bridge No.*:	<u>067B00121N</u>	(Bridge	<u>se #2)</u>			
Sufficiency Rating	<u>42.7</u>			Existing Geotech data available?		
Total Length	<u>86.9'</u>			☐ Yes ☑ No		
Width, curb to curb	<u>23'</u>					
Span Lengths	<u>39'</u>			Detour Length(s): 3.7 Miles		
Year Built	<u>1921</u>					
Posted Weight Limit	No Restriction					
Structurally Deficient?	<u>Yes</u>			*If more than two bridges are located on		
Functionally Obsolete?	<u>No</u>			the project, include additions sheets.		
Existing Bridge Type	Concrete Cast In Place					

1

II. PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED					
A. Legislation					
This following funding was listed in the 2012	Funding	Phase	Year	Amount	
General Assembly's Enacted Highway Plan	BRO	D	2014	\$400,000	
	BRO	R	2015	\$420,000	
	BRO	U	2015	\$125,000	
	BRO	С	2016	\$1,350,000	

### **B. Project Status**

Design funds for this project have not been authorized.

### C. System Linkage

KY 2034C is a Rural Collector road located in the eastern part of Letcher County that connects KY 15 and KY 2034 to US 119. It serves as a connection for businesses and residences and crosses the North Fork of the Kentucky River.

### D. Modal Interrelationships

There are presently no bike or pedestrian facilities along this section of highway.

### E. Social Demands & Economic Development

There is no economic development anticipated in this area with the completion of this project. Although, as with all communities, development may occur within the project area. Letcher County High School is located across US 119 from the bridge. Traffic from the school uses the bridge as a connection to Whitesburg without having to travel US 119.

### F. Transportation Demand

KY 2034C serves as a connection for businesses and residences between KY 15 and US 119. There is an alternate connection located at the intersection of KY 15 (M.P. 0.0) and US 119 (M.P. 17.0).

2

### G. Capacity

There are no congestion issues that would contribute to the need of this project.

### H. Safety

Over the past three years there have only been 8 collisions at the intersection of US 119 and KY 2034C. All of these being on US 119. None of them were due to roadway characteristics, most are attributed to driver inattention due to wet roads and traffic stoppage. There could be a need for future lighting in the intersection or on the bridge, so if possible, include conduit channels with the new bridge construction or with lighting already installed.

### I. Structure Deficiencies

The exterior beams have moderate spalling and the delams have rusty rebar exposed. There are some open cracks and stairstep cracking along the grout joints of the wet stone masonry pier. The wet stone masonry abutments and wingwalls have stairstep cracking with mortar exposed under the cap. The pier cap has 4' section of loss to the cap that is exposed to weather on the upstream side. The cap has deteriorated back to exterior beam and needs to be repaired.

### **Draft Purpose and Need Statement:**

Need: Replace two-lane bridge that has a Sufficiency Rating of 42.7 which is structurally deficient and make improvements to approaches if needed.

Purpose: Improvements through replacement that will address the safety and structure deficiency concerns associated with the project.

III. PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW
A. Air Quality
Project is in: Attainment area Nonattainment or Maintenance Area PM 2.5 County
STIP Pg.#: 81 of 127 TIP Pg.#:
FY 2013-2016
B. Archeology/Historic Resources
☐ Known Archeological or Historic Resources are present
No Section 106 notifications have been generated from the District at this point. If the historical survey indicates that
there may be an impact to historical sites, then the 106 process will be started.
C. Threatened and Endangered Species
The Indiana Bat (Myotis Sodalis), Gray Bat (Myotis Grisescens), and Blackside Dace (Phoxinus Cumberlandensis) are
listed as threatened or endangered species in the project area. A BA may be required to satisfy Section 7 requirements
for all species or an IBCMOA or tree-cutting restrictions may be utilized to compensate for any potential habitat loss
for the Indiana Bat.
D. Hazardous Materials
Potentially Contaminated Sites are present  Potential Bridge or Structure Demolition
At the time of the Environmental Overview, no UST/HAZMAT issues were noted in the project area.
At the time of the Environmental Overview, no objectional issues were noted in the project area.
E. Permitting
Check all that may apply: 🖂 Waters of the US 🔲 MS4 area 🗹 Floodplain Impacts 🔲 Navigable Waters of the US Impacts
Are 401/404 Permits likely to be required?  Yes  No Impacts to:  Wetlands  Stream/Lake/Pond
✓ ACE LON ACE NW ACE IP DOW IWQC Special Use Waters
ACE LON will be required from impacts associated with bridge replacement
F Noise
F. Noise  Are existing or planned noise sensitive recentors adjacent to the proposed project?  The proposed project?
Are existing or planned noise sensitive receptors adjacent to the proposed project? Yes No  Is this considered a "Type I Project" according to the KYTC Noise Analysis and Abatement Policy? Yes No
Is this considered a "Type I Project" according to the <a href="KYTC Noise Analysis and Abatement Policy?" no="" no<="" td="" volume="" yes=""></a>
G. Socioeconomic
Check all that may apply: 🗸 Low Income/Minority Populations affected 🗸 Relocations 🗌 Local Land Use Plan available
Possible relocations associated with project. Relocation surveys will need to be completed to see if any low income or
minority populations are affected.
H. Section 4(f) or 6(f) Resources
The following are present on the project:  Section 4(f) Resources  Section 6(f) Resources
No anticipated 4(f) or 6(f) impacts associated with project.
and anticipated 4(1) or o(1) impacts associated with project.
Anticipated Environmental Document:  CE Level 1

4

IV. PROJECT SCOPING			
	Alternate 1 Estimate		
One alignment has been	<u>Phase</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	
considered for this project.	Planning		
1	Design	\$300,000	
	R/W	\$236,000	
	Utilities	\$200,000	
	Const	\$1,000,000	
	Total	\$1,736,000	

This alternative would remove the existing structure and a bridge would be constructed in approximately the same location. Widening of the new structure would occur to the west of the existing structure. The new bridge would be three (3) lanes with one (1) 4.0' shoulder and one (1) 6.0' shoulder. A sidewalk is not proposed due to limited pedestrian usage and not having a reasonable termination point. Improvements would be made to the approaches, but the extent of the improvements would likely be driven by traffic data and right-of-way impacts. There is a detour that is 3.7 miles long that will be utilized so the existing bridge can be demolished and a new one constructed in its place.



Exhibit 1

5 4/3/2013

V. Summary
------------

This study is a Data Needs Analysis (DNA) of a reconstruction project to address structure and functional deficiencies of the bridge at Ermine in Letcher County, Item Number 12-1113.00. Through analysis of the existing roadway geometrics, crash data, site visits, and discussion with the Project Team, several needs were identified within the project limits. The following were identified as project needs:

- The No Build Alternative is not feasible due to the poor Sufficiency Rating of the bridge.
- The proposed design shall be a three (3) lane bridge.
- Improvement of the bridge approaches will include transition from a two (2) lane to three (3) lane roadway.

-The new	bridge is to	o include	conduit fo	r future	lighting.

6 4/3/2013

### VI. Tables and Exhibits





Exhibits 2 & 3

# VI. Tables and Exhibits **Location Map**

### VI. Tables and Exhibits



